UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,348	01/11/2002	Vincent Dureau	5266-04300	8192
44015 OPTV/MEYER	90 04/14/2009 ONS		EXAMINER	
RORY D. RANKIN			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
	P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/2/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 11, paragraph beginning with "However":

The applicant argues that the combination of Kaars and Croy would not result in a single device from which the transcode subsystem detects a communication and that requires a data format that is not supported by the transport subsystem. Kaars discloses a system with a transcode subsystem (figure 1, part 100; paragraph 21) that can take an input from a user using a user interface (paragraph 22) to identify the type of device that the data needs to be transcoded for (paragraph 28; figure 1, part 150). In the system disclosed by Kaars, the user interface is operated using a remote controller that communicates directly with the transcode subunit (paragraph 22, lines 14-21). This does not meet the limitation of detecting a communication from the secondary device as the secondary device does not communicate directly with the transcode subunit. Croy teaches a device with either a one-way or bi-directional communication with a set top box (column 4, lines 10-37). It is the opinion of the examiner that one could add the bidirectional communication to the secondary device disclosed by Kaars (figure 1, parts 132, 134 and 142) to communicate with that transcode subunit. This is viewed as a valid combination as the secondary devices disclosed by Kaars could be a personal computer, which inherently includes bi-directional communications over a network wire (figure 1, parts 130 and 140) of which a bi-directional network wire is included in the possible connections taught by Croy (column 4, lines 10-37).

Art Unit: 2424

The remaining arguments are considered repeats of arguments presented in the last office action and therefore are considered responded to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424 Application/Control Number: 10/044,348

Page 4

Art Unit: 2424